

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,335	MULLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Davis	2129	

All Participants:

(1) George Davis.

(2) Thersa Takeuchi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mark Hanish.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggests amending claim 1 to specify an outcome of the three branches. After applicants suggested an amendment, the examiner indicates that the examiner will consult the issue with the supervisor.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)